### **Haverhill Town Council**

### Minutes of a Meeting of Haverhill Town Council's

### PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING

Held on Tuesday 17<sup>th</sup> April 2018 at 7.00pm at Haverhill Arts Centre, Haverhill, Suffolk, CB9 8AR



TOWN COUNCIL

Present: Councillor P Hanlon (Chairman)

> Councillor A Brown Councillor Q Fox Councillor B Robbins Councillor L Smith

**Apologies:** Councillor M Byrne

Councillor A Williams

Councillor J Crooks Absent:

In Attendance: Councillor J Burns

Colin Poole, Assistant Town Clerk

3 member of the public was present.

#### Welcome:

Councillor P Hanlon welcomed everyone to the meeting and advised members of the public attending that the meeting was being recorded.

	Apologies for Absence The above apologies were noted.	ACTION
P18 /065	<u>Declarations of Interest and requests for Dispensation</u> None.	
P18 /066	Minutes of the Previous Meeting Minutes of Meeting held 3 <sup>rd</sup> April 2018 were signed as a true record.	
P18 /067	Matters arising from the Previous Minutes No matters were arising	
P18 /068	Public Forum on planning matters other than applications before the committee  No member of the public wished to speak on other matters.	
P18 /069	Planning Applications determined by the Clerk and Chair under  Delegated Powers (List A attached)  Applications determined under delegated powers, are shown in list A attached to the minutes, see Appendix (i)	

P18 /070	Planning Applications currently before St. Edmundsbury Borough Council and received by publication of agenda (List B attached) Applications determined by the Committee are shown on List B attached to the Minutes, see Appendix (i)	o	
P18 /061	Matters to Report Councillor Burns reported that St Edmundsbury Planning Enforcement had been in contact with Atterton and Ellis and had updated local councillors.	t	
P18 /062	416		
P18 /063	Closure The meeting was closed at 7.36pm.		
Si	gned Date		
Cł	hairman		

## Appendix (i)

## List A – Approved by Chairman and Clerk under delegated powers

	PLAN NO.	PROPOSAL	LOCATION	TOWN COUNCIL DECISION

# List B – Considered at the Committee Meeting

		PLAN NO.	PROPOSAL	LOCATION	TOWN COUNCIL DECISION
05.04.18 Expires 26.04.18	1	DC/18/0521/FUL	(ii) 1no. dwelling (demolition of single storey side extension and garage) (ii) new drive way and garage (iii) cycle store (resubmission of DC/17/2087/FUL)  Mr Geoff Whiting	Dayspring 1 Stephen Close Haverhill	OBJECT The Council considers that the amended design does not satisfactorily address our concerns raised in respect of the previous planning application. There is still a clear problem of overlooking. The proposal is overdevelopment of a site in a location which is unsuitable for further development due to the restricted access.  In addition we echo the concerns of public health in respect of room sizes, which we think is evidential that the site is too constricted to fit an additional property without compromising the living space within, the privacy of neighbours and causing additional parking problems.  If the Authority is minded to give permission, there should be strict conditions in respect of the construction phase barring construction vehicles from entering Stephen Close – All materials will have to be walked in as the road is often impassable for cars, never mind lorries.
10.04.18 Expires 01.05.18	2	DC/18/0561/VAR	Variation of condition 1 of DC/16/2836/RM to enable drawing PH-125-03C to be replaced with 040/P/200C and PH-125-04C to replaced with 040/P/201C and add plan 040/T/152A for the additional 28no. garages for the Reserved	Land North West Of Haverhill Anne Sucklings Lane Little Wratting Suffolk	OBJECT The Council is concerned that this late inclusion of garages will detract from the openness of the development by infilling. In addition, particularly as the garages do not meet SCC parking standards, the garages are likely to be used for storage rather than car parking. We don't see quite what is trying

PLAN NO.	PROPOSAL	LOCATION	TOWN COUNCIL DECISION
	Matters Application - Submission of details under outline planning permission SE/09/1283/OUT – The appearance, layout, scale, access and landscaping for 200 dwellings, together with associated private amenity space, means of enclosure, car parking, vehicle and access arrangements together with proposed areas of landscaping and areas of open space for a phase of residential development known as Phase 1		to be achieved by this proposal. If additional car parking spaces are required, which is likely, then open spaces are more likely to be used for parking. Otherwise, this is amenity space which is of equal value and should not be sacrificed.  We don't accept the argument that failure to do this now will result in piecemeal development of garages later. It would never achieve the scale of building proposed now and each application would be subject to planning scrutiny.  The plans provided are incorrect as they show hedgerows which have been grubbed out. The Council is extremely disappointed and upset that Persimmon caused/allowed this to happen.